



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

United States Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS

P.O. Box 1450

Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|--|-------------|-------------------------|-----------------------------|------------------|
| 10/578,282 | 11/16/2007 | Krishanthi Balakrishnan | 102792-1070 | 2119 |
| 27389 | 7590 | 10/12/2011 | | |
| PARFOMAK, ANDREW N. NORRIS MCLAUGHLIN & MARCUS PA 875 THIRD AVE, 8TH FLOOR NEW YORK, NY 10022 | | | EXAMINER BUCKLEY, AUDREA | |
| | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| | | | 1617 | |
| | | | MAIL DATE | DELIVERY MODE |
| | | | 10/12/2011 | PAPER |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

| | | | |
|--|------------------------|---------------------|--|
| <i>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</i> | Application No. | Applicant(s) | |
| | 10/578,282 | BALAKRISHNAN ET AL. | |
| | Examiner | Art Unit | |
| | AUDREA BUCKLEY | 1617 | |

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) AUDREA BUCKLEY (3) _____

(2) Andrew Parfomak (4) _____

Date of Interview: 03 October 2011

Type: ☒ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal [copy given to: ☐ applicant ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: ☐ Yes ☒ No.
 If Yes, brief description: _____

Issues Discussed ☐ 101 ☐ 112 ☐ 102 ☐ 103 ☐ Others
 (For each of the checked box(es) above, please describe below the issue and detailed description of the discussion)

Claim(s) discussed: N/A

Identification of prior art discussed: N/A

Substance of Interview
 (For each issue discussed, provide a detailed description and indicate if agreement was reached. Some topics may include: identification or clarification of a reference or a portion thereof, claim interpretation, proposed amendments, arguments of any applied references etc...)

Attorney Parfomak confirmed that a response to the Office action mailed 3/30/2011 has not been filed.

Applicant recodation instructions: It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of interview.

Examiner recodation instructions: Examiners must summarize the substance of any interview of record. A complete and proper recodation of the substance of an interview should include the items listed in MPEP 713.04 for complete and proper recodation including the identification of the general thrust of each argument or issue discussed, a general indication of any other pertinent matters discussed regarding patentability and the general results or outcome of the interview, to include an indication as to whether or not agreement was reached on the issues raised.

☐ Attachment

/RICHARD SCHNIZER/
 Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1635